ACT Board of Senior Secondary Studies

Public Consultation Report 2020

Shape Paper: Social and Community work A/T/M/V

- This report has been prepared following public consultation.
- All feedback submitted as part of the consultation process has been recorded and analysed.
- The responses to the feedback have been compiled following the deliberations of the Shape Paper writing team.
- Amendments to the Shape Paper have been made where required, as a result of the consultation process.

| Topic | Comment | Course Development Team Response |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Q1 Which school are you from? | one response from one school, one ED | |
| | | |
| Q2 Shape Paper Background | 1 agree | Noted |
| The background provides a clear sense | | |
| of the disciplinary, systemic and national | No comments | |
| parameters under which the course will | | |
| be written. | | |
| Q3 COURSE GOALS The "Aims of | 1 agree | Noted |
| the Psychology Curriculum" is clear | | |
| about the intended learning and | 3.1 Agree, but as with any course that contains | |
| priorities, yet allows for flexibility. | V, we should definitely avoid the prescriptive and | |
| | often boring nature of potential framing, to meet | |
| | the requirements of VET certification. I prefer this | |
| | framing of the individual units to the previous | |
| | course, which I believe allow for greater historical | |
| | and sociological awareness in both content and | |
| | methodology. They also seem to be better aligned | |
| | to re-centring students in the world in which they | |
| | will both live and work. The Aims stated in Section 5 | |
| | are clear, high level and provide great opportunity | |
| | for extension and critical reflection, so long as the | |
| | course is not written to support the VET | |
| | qualification, but rather, that the VET qualification | |
| | is made to fit the course delivery model. | |
| | is made to he the course delivery model. | |
| Q4 The rationale for the course and unit | 1 agree | Noted |
| structure is well-explained and well- | no comments | |
| justified | | |
| Q5 The proposed units are conceptually | 1 strongly agree | Noted |
| distinct | | |
| | no comments | |
| | | |
| Q6. The unit descriptions are clear and | 1 strongly agree | Noted |
| provide for innovative approaches | | |

| | 6.1 See commentary re: VET requirements in this space. We note that CIT have had a significant oversight in this, but we also note that many of our students do not want to pursue the VET component at this level. Innovative approaches are being greatly stifled by the prescriptive nature of BSSS requirements in course writing at the current time. I hope not to receive this response: "R1 Teachers can use programs of learning to tailor unit delivery to | CIT teachers and a Social Work A/Professor provided guidance around Social Work curriculum and an outside perspective on the learning in this discipline. There are many options available to teachers in setting assessment under the HASS Framework. There are no prescribed types. It does not prescribe pedagogy. The content descriptions set cognitive and disciplinary standards that students are expected to achieve. This |
|---|--|---|
| | commentary. The prescriptions that A.C.T. teachers are responding to in these new course iterations are real and having significant impact on the nature of innovative practice in school settings. I am similarly aware that course writers are working to write courses under this new regime and this is having impact on that writing and do not 'blame them' for these concerns. Greater flexibility in assessment requirements and submission types would go some way toward to support innovative approaches. Teachers can be as innovative as we like, but if we still must operate within the traditional and increasingly narrow guidelines of BSSS framing of this, then innovative practice has now ground in which to be either sown or seeded. | |
| Q7 "Considerations", situates the course clearly in relation to curriculum priorities | 1 agree7.1 These have been well-articulated by the group. Thanks to them for this clarity. | Noted |

| Q8 This proposed course is distinct from | 1 agree | Noted |
|--|---|-------|
| other BSSS accredited courses. | | |
| | 8.1 Absolutely and it provides the integrated | |
| | framework that is needed in this course, giving | |
| | greater credence to the complexity of understanding | |
| | contained in the content. | |

