## **ACT Board of Senior Secondary Studies**

**Public Consultation Report 2022** 

Shape Paper: Economics A/T/M

- This report has been prepared following public consultation.
- All feedback submitted as part of the consultation process has been recorded and analysed.
- The responses to the feedback have been compiled following the deliberations of the Shape Paper writing team.
- Amendments to the Shape Paper have been made where required, as a result of the consultation process.

Survey Question	Feedback	Reponses to Feedback
1. Which school are you from?	1 ED, 1 AIS, 1 CE	
2. The rationale provides clarity about	5 stars	noted
the scope of the course, its distinctive	No comment	
nature, and outcomes for students	5 stars	
3. Any comments on rationale.	None	noted
	None	
	Good overview to set the basis of the course.	
4. The course goals, drawn from the	5 stars	noted
Framework, are clear about the	4 stars	
intended learning but allow flexibility.	5 stars	
5. The unit description for	3 stars	noted
'Macroeconomic Foundations' clearly	1 star	
describes the focus and scope for this	5 stars	
unit and informs in the planning of the	J stars	
program of learning.		
6. The specific unit goals for	3 stars	noted
'Macroeconomic Foundations' are	2 stars	
clearly outlined and appropriate to the		

unit.	5 stars	
7.The content descriptions for	3 stars	noted
'Macroeconomic Foundations' clearly elaborate on the unit description and the specific unit goals.	2 stars 5 stars	
8.The content descriptions for 'Macroeconomic Foundations' allow flexibility for a teacher to plan a program of learning that addresses the learning needs and interests of their students.	3 stars 2 stars 5 stars	noted
9.Any comments on the unit 'Macroeconomic Foundations'.	9.1 Almost all schools would start with Microeconomics - price mechanism etc. I would think this should be listed first rather than Macro. Even though we can teach the units in various orders, having this first would assume teachers would start with Macro. The content descriptors are vague, I understand most courses are heading this way but a brand new teacher would not have a clue where to start.  9.2 In the unit description, it says "evaluate	9.1 Courses are written with the expectation that teachers are able to teach their subject. Schools will assist new teachers with existing programs of learning and the advice of senior teachers.  Units can be completed in any order.  Developers will reorder units in the course document to have Micro before Macro.
	theories" and "Students evaluate explanations of macroeconomic phenomena provided by	9.2 Students in all subjects with theoretical components are expected to evaluate the validity

	economists". This is unrealistic in an entry level	of theories in of themselves, and by testing them
	unit. The students just start learning the theories	against phenomena found in the world. Economic
	and can only apply the theories. Similarly, in the	theory is contestable and contested in debates
	unit goal and content descriptions, it is	between economists in its application to events
	impossible to evaluate theories for T students or	and phenomena and should be presented as such
	analyse theories for A students.	from the beginning so that students have a sound
		understanding of their discipline. Any article on
	9.3 Excellent essential unit. Reads as first unit in	economics in newspapers and current affairs
	sequence but not a foundation unit, despite	magazines engages in debate about possible
	name.	causes and solutions to socio-economic events
		and trends. Such articles are commonly critiqued
		as a part of existing work in BSSS economics, so
		this expectation is precedented.
		9.3 Teachers can deliver units in any order, so
		there is no foundation unit as such. Teachers will
		develop a program of learning suitable for their
		class.
10.The unit description for 'Issues in	3 stars	noted
Macroeconomics' clearly describes the	1 star	
	1 Stal	
focus and scope for this unit and	5 Stars	
informs in the planning of the program		
of learning.		
11.The specific unit goals for 'Issues in	3 stars	noted
Macroeconomics' are clearly outlined	1 star	
macrocconomics are clearly outlined	1 Stal	

and appropriate.	5 Stars	
12.The content descriptions in 'Issues in Macroeconomics' clearly elaborate on the unit description and the specific unit goals.	3 stars 1 star 5 Stars	noted
13.The content descriptions of 'Issues in Macroeconomics' allow flexibility for a teacher to plan a program of learning that addresses the learning needs and interests of their students.	3 stars 1 star 3 stars	noted
14.Any comments on the unit 'Issues in Macroeconomics'.	14.1 None  14.2 In the draft course, this unit is called " Debates in Macroeconomics" which is inconsistent with the unit title in the content page or the questions here. The use of "debates" throughout unit goals and descriptions is very confusing, especially "evaluate debates". Can it be changed back to issues? Or can the title be "Advanced Macroeconomics"  14.3 Unit is 'debates in Macroeconomics' . Good unit, some specifics in content descriptors like Sri Lankan Economic Crisis, may be a little	14.2 Apologies. The document has been amended to use Debates consistently. Debates was settled on to communicate the contested nature of knowledge in Economics. It positions students as participants in the ongoing debates in Economics.  14.3 The examples provided are not prescriptive and none must be taught. They are provided to give some ideas for teachers and to illuminate the Content Descriptions.

	limiting than allowing teacher choice or student	
	direction.	
15.The unit description of 'Microeconomic Foundations' clearly describes the focus and scope for this unit and informs in the planning of the program of learning.	3 stars 1 star skipped	noted
16.The specific unit goals of	3 stars	noted
'Microeconomic Foundations' are	2 stars	
clearly outlined and appropriate.	5 stars	
17.The content descriptions of	3 stars	noted
'Microeconomic Foundations' clearly	2 stars	
elaborate on the unit description and	5 stars	
the specific unit goals.	J stats	
18.The content descriptions of	3 stars	noted
'Microeconomic Foundations' allow	Skipped	
flexibility for a teacher to plan a	5 stars	
program of learning that addresses the	3 3 5 6 1 3	
learning needs and interests of their		
students.		

19.Any comments on the unit	19.1 none	
'Microeconomic Foundations'.	19.2 In the unit description, it says "evaluate theories" and "Students evaluate explanations of microeconomic phenomena provided by economists". This is unrealistic in an entry level unit. The students just start learning the theories and can only apply the theories. Similarly, in the unit goal and content descriptions, it is impossible to evaluate theories for T students or analyse theories for A students.  19.3 Excellent unit	19.2 Students in all subjects with theoretical components are expected to evaluate the validity of theories in of themselves, and by testing them against phenomena found in the world. Economic theory is contestable and contested in debates between economists in its application to events and phenomena and should be presented as such from the beginning so that students have a sound understanding of their discipline. Any article on economics in newspapers and current affairs magazines engages in debate about possible causes and solutions to socio-economic events and trends. Such articles are commonly critiqued as a part of existing work in BSSS economics, so this expectation is precedented.
20.The unit description for 'Issues in Microeconomics' clearly describes the focus and scope for this unit and informs in the planning of the program of learning.	3 stars 1 star 5 stars	noted
21.The specific unit goals for 'Issues in Microeconomics' are clearly outlined	3 stars 1 star	noted

and appropriate.	5 stars	
22.The content descriptions for 'Issues	3 stars	noted
in Microeconomics' clearly elaborate	Skipped	
on the unit description and the specific unit goals.	5 stars	
23.The content descriptions for 'Issues	3 stars	noted
in Microeconomics' allow flexibility for	1 star	
a teacher to plan a program of	5 stars	
learning that addresses the learning	3 state	
needs and interests of their students.		
24.Any comments on the unit 'Issues in	24.1 None	
Microeconomics'.	24.2 In the draft course, this unit is called "	24.2 Apologies. The document has been amended
	Debates in Microeconomics" which is	to use Debates consistently. Debates was settled
	inconsistent with the unit title in the content	on to communicate the contested nature of knowledge in Economics. It positions students as
	page or the questions here. The use of "debates" throughout unit goals and	participants in the ongoing debates in Economics
	descriptions is very confusing, especially	24.3 Noted
	"evaluate debates". Can it be changed back to	24.5 Noteu
	issues?	
	24.3 Really like the debates idea in content	
	descriptions. A little more versatile than Debates	
	Macro.	

25.The specific unit goals and content	5 Stars	noted
descriptions of the 'Independent	4 stars	
Study' unit allow flexibility for a	5 Stars	
student and teacher to plan a study	Stars	
that addresses the learning needs and		
interests of the student.		
26.Any comments on the Independent	None	noted
Study unit.	None	
	Use this for student interest. Good option	

## BSSS